Electromagnetic Time Reversal Method to Locate Partial Discharges in Power Networks Using 1D TLM Modelling
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Abstract—This letter sets out to describe the first results of the design process that will lead to a new on-line partial discharge location method based on Electromagnetic Time Reversal theory and using the Transmission Line-Matrix method. A description of the basic steps of the method under design is given together with the modeling procedure used to describe time inverted signal propagation. Finally, the ability of the method to locate partial discharges on power cables both using two observation points and a single observation point is proved in simulation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Among all forms of energy, electricity plays a central role in the global challenge of climate change and the shift to clean growth. An increased amount of consumed electricity is expected in the transport, heating and service sectors. Electricity security is the power system’s capability to withstand or cope with disturbance events or incidents producing abnormal system conditions, failures or outages of system components, with minimal service disruption [1]. Insulation degradation of cables in distribution and transmission networks produces effects ranging from temporary faults to complete black-out. Statistics indicate that more than 85% of equipment failures are related to insulation failure [2]. Insulation degradation is often caused by or accompanied by Partial Discharge (PD) events, which makes detecting and locating PDs an excellent ‘early warning’ indicator of impending cable failure. PDs start in insulation defects, usually formed during the manufacturing or installation process or in insulation deteriorated with age or by thermal/electrical over-stressing. Hence, the adoption of on-line PD detection and location methods is the most effective solution for the condition monitoring of networks to prevent faults and supply interruption, leading to a better power quality, an increased customer satisfaction and an improvement of network resilience [3]. The on-line PD detection and location problem has been widely investigated in the literature [2]–[6]. Most on-line location methods are reflectometry or traveling wave-based techniques, using the principle that PD events generate electromagnetic waves that travel in either direction towards the cable ends. A measurement system at one end...
of the cable detects two pulses, the incident wave and the reflected one from the other cable end. The delay between these pulses allows an estimate of the PD location. Time domain reflectometry (TDR) methods can only be used for short cables because otherwise accuracy is lost due to attenuation and dispersion phenomena. Multi-end measurement methods (ToA methods) [5] are used to address this problem, but their implementation is difficult due to the complexity in the synchronization procedure. Furthermore, ToA methods require a precise determination of the signal onset time, which is highly sensitive to noise. Another major challenge in accurately locating PDs is the presence of electromagnetic interference (EMI), addressed using wavelet transform techniques requiring significant computational effort [6].

This letter describes the first results of the design procedure of a new method for the on-line location of PDs in distribution and transmission networks based on the innovative theory of electromagnetic time reversal (EMTR) [7] and using a 1D Transmission Line Matrix (TLM) method to model the PD signal propagation. EMTR theory has already been applied to the location of lightning strikes and faults in power networks [8], [9] with significantly improved performance compared to classical approaches, such as: applicability to inhomogeneous and complex networks; robustness against the presence of noise and a limited observation time window; use of a single observation point. Moreover, the EMTR method used to locate lightning can be considered a more general case compared to ToA methods and is able to use information about the wave shape of the lightning interference together with the propagation time. EMTR has previously not been used to locate incipient faults such as PDs which exhibit different characteristics compared to solid faults. PD pulses are short with significant frequency components of up to 1 GHz and the accuracy of their location is influenced to a much greater extent compared to classical faults by distortion phenomena and by the presence of EMI. All these characteristics make EMTR a good candidate technique to solve the highlighted factors affecting the accuracy of PD location methods.

II. 1D TLM MODEL OF SIGNAL PROPAGATION

The propagation of PD signals in a lossless transmission line formed by a single wire above a ground plane or a shielded cable, represented by the equivalent circuit in Fig. 1, is described by the Telegrapher’s equations that give voltage, \( v(x, t) \), and current, \( i(x, t) \) wave on the line as functions of time \( t \) and distance \( x \) [10]:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 v(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{LC} \frac{\partial^2 v(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \quad (1.1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 i(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{LC} \frac{\partial^2 i(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \quad (1.2)
\]

where \( L \) and \( C \) are, respectively, the inductance and capacitance (per unit-length) of the transmission line. The transmission line is thus characterized by a propagation speed, \( u \), and a characteristic impedance, \( Z_0 \), [10]:

\[
u = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}; \quad Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \quad (2)
\]

Voltage and current waves are evaluated using the TLM method, chosen for its flexibility, high efficiency, and its numerical stability. It is a differential equation-based method operating in time-domain, where the transmission line is discretized into a mesh of \( n \) segments, of length \( \Delta x \), connected by nodes as shown in Fig. 1. The wave pulses are scattered in the nodes and propagates in the transmission lines, generating incident and reflected voltages/currents. The voltage at time-step \( k \) at the node \( n \), \( V_n(k) \), evaluated by applying Millman’s “Parallel Generator” theorem to the Thevenin equivalent circuit of the line shown in Fig. 2, and the node current, \( I_n(k) \) are:

\[
V_n(k) = \frac{2VL_n^i(k)}{Z_0} + \frac{2VR_n^i(k)}{Z_0} \quad (3.1)
\]

\[
I_n(k) = \frac{V_n(k) - 2VR_n^i(k)}{Z_0} \quad (3.2)
\]

where \( VL_n^i(k) \) and \( VR_n^i(k) \) are the incident voltages coming respectively from the left and the right of node \( n \). The reflected voltages on the left, \( VL_n^r(k) \), and on the right, \( VR_n^r(k) \), of the node are:

\[
VL_n^r(k) = VL_n(k) - VL_n^i(k) \quad (4.1)
\]

\[
VR_n^r(k) = VR_n(k) - VR_n^i(k) \quad (4.2)
\]

The voltage incident from the left of node \( n \) at time-step \( k+1 \) is the reflected into the right of node \( n-1 \) at the time-step \( k \); and the same considerations apply to the incident voltage on node \( n \) coming from the right. In a node of the line where a PD event occurs, an electromagnetic disturbance, \( V_{PD} \), is produced, and for the purpose of this illustration, it can be represented with a double exponential equation [11]:

\[
V_{PD} = V_0 \left( e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}} \right) \quad (5)
\]

with \( V_0 = 0.1 \) V, \( \tau_1 = 2 \) ns, \( \tau_2 = 10 \) ns. This voltage is applied to one node of the TLM model, between points \( a \) and \( b \) of Fig. 2, and its propagation along the cable is evaluated using eqs. (3-4).
III. EMTR-BASED LOCATION METHOD

The EMTR-based method to locate PDs is derived from the EMTR method to locate faults on power networks [9]. At a point on the line, illustrated in Fig. 3, a PD event occurs. To locate the PD source, the proposed EMTR-based method is based on the following steps:

1. measurement of the PD-originated signals at observation points (OPs) of the line, shown in Fig. 3;
2. simulation of the back-injection of the time-reversed PD signals for different guessed PD locations (GPDLs) using the 1D TLM model;
3. assessment of the PD location by evaluating the GPDL characterized by the highest energy concentration related to the back-injected time-reversed PD signals.

The method is designed considering either two OPs or one OP. To give the mathematical proof of the method, a Direct Time (DT) simulation is run, during which a PD event occurs at a node of the cable, producing the electromagnetic signal, $V_{PD}$, described by eq. (5). This propagates towards the cable ends where the PD signals, $s(t)$, are recorded at the OPs, shown in Fig. 3, in a specific time window, $T$. The recorded signals are time-reversed and back injected, from the OPs, into the TLM model of the line to run the Time Reversal (TR) simulations. To make the argument of the time-reversed variables positive during the TR simulations, a time delay equal to $T$ is applied:

$$\tilde{t} = T - t \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{s}(\tilde{t}) \quad \tilde{t} \in [0, T] \quad (6)$$

For each TR simulation, a GPDL is defined as a node of the TLM model of the network that reproduces the transversal impedance between the inner conductor and external shield of the cable when a PD event occurs inside the insulator. A PD event in a cavity within a dielectric can be modeled using the well-known three-capacitor circuit model [12] shown in Fig. 4, where $C_a$ and $C_b$ represent the capacitance in the dielectric material in series with a defect and $C_c$ is the defect capacitance. The discharge event is represented by an instantaneous change in the charging of the system capacitance, realized by closing the switch in Fig. 4. The value of the defect capacitance, $C_c$, can be evaluated by using the generalized PD model described by Niemeyer [13]. According to this model, the charges $\pm q$ that represent the surface charge distribution in the defect surface due to the voltage collapse, $\Delta V_{PD}$, caused by the PD event, are given by:

$$\pm q = \pm g \pi \varepsilon_0 d \Delta V_{PD} \quad (7)$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $d$ is the defect scale, i.e., the defect dimension parallel to the local background electric field, $g$ is a dimensionless proportionality factor accounting for the charge distribution form, the defect geometry, and the influence of the relative permittivity. The value of $C_c$ can be evaluated from (7):

$$C_c = g \pi \varepsilon_0 d \quad (8)$$

For a generic defect type, shown in Fig. 4, $g$ is given by [12]:

$$g(a/b, \varepsilon_r) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a/d}{(a/b)^2} [1 + \varepsilon_r(K(a/b) - 1)] \quad (9)$$

with $\varepsilon_r$ the relative dielectric permittivity of the dielectric in the vicinity of the defect, $a$ and $b$ defined in Fig. 4 and $K(a/b)$ given by:

$$K(a/b) = \begin{cases} \equiv 1 & a/b \ll 1 \\ \equiv 3 & a/b = 1 \\ \equiv 4a/b & 1 < a/b < 10 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

For a spherical void with $d = 2a = 2b$ and $K = 3$, the value of $C_c$ is:

$$C_c = \pi \varepsilon_0 d \frac{1}{4} [1 + 2 \varepsilon_r] \quad (11)$$

Then, in the TR simulations, the impedance at each GPDL is characterized by a capacitance $C_{eqPD}$ given by the series of $C_a$ and $C_b$ with $C_c$ short-circuited. In the TLM model, the value of $C_{eqPD}$ is realized, as shown in Fig. 5, using, in parallel with the node transversal capacitance $C$, a stub capacitor $C_{PD}$. In the Thevenin equivalent circuit of the line, the GPDL node is shown in Fig. 5 where the stub capacitance is characterized by an impedance, $Z_c$, given by:

$$Z_c = \Delta t / 2C_{PD} \quad (12)$$

In order to locate the PD source, at each GPDL, the Energy, $E_n$, stored in the transversal capacitance is evaluated as follows:

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2}C_{eqPD} \sum_{i=1}^{M} V_i^2 \quad \text{with} \quad M = T / \Delta t \quad (13)$$

where $V$ is the voltage at the node, $M$ is the number of samples, $\Delta t$ the sampling time and $T$ the observation period. The normalized value, $E_{n norm}$, is then evaluated, for each GPDL, with respect to the maximum Energy in the GPDLs:

$$E_{n norm} = \frac{1}{2} C_{eqPD} \sum_{k=1}^{M} V_{GPDL(k)}^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{M} V_{GPDL(k)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{M} V_{GPDL,m(k)}^2 \quad (14)$$
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

To give an illustration of the proposed method, simulations have been performed based on the scheme in Fig. 3: that is, a transmission line formed of a homogeneous cable of length $l = 100$ m, connected to impedances $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ with $Z_1 = Z_2 = 100$ kΩ (representing the power transformers impedance at high frequency). The cable is an 11-kV aluminum power cable, with Cross-Linked Polyethylene (XLPE) insulation and cross-sectional area of $150$ mm$^2$, with characteristic parameters equal to $L = 91.34$ nH/m, $C = 0.39$ nF/m, characteristic impedance $Z_0 = 15.30$ Ω and propagation speed $u = 1.675 \times 10^8$ m/s. The GPDL impedance in the TR simulations is evaluated using eqs (6)-(13). For an XLPE cable with $\varepsilon_r = 2.3$ and for a sphere defect with $a = b = 1 - 5$ mm, the value of $C_c \cong 10^{-13} - 10^{-14}$ F and $C_{PD} \cong 10^{-18}$ F. The recording time $T$, when two OPs are used, must be equal to $T = l/u = 0.6$ μs, i.e., the propagation time along the cable, in order to measure the PD signals at both the OPs whenever the PD event occurs. Fig. 6 shows the PD signals measured at the OPs in the DT simulation, with a PD source 40 m away from the left end of the cable, and the corresponding time reversed signals. Fig. 7 shows the voltage at three GPDLs and the normalized energy evaluated at several GPDLs in the TR simulation. A GPDL was defined every 2 m along the cable. As the figure shows, the GPDL with the maximum energy is the PD source location. It can also be observed that the voltage at the GPDL that corresponds to the PD source is higher than the voltage at the other GPDLs. This is because the back-injected signals add up in phase at the real PD location. Fig. 8 shows the results when the PD source is placed, respectively, 20 m and 60 m from the left end of the cable. The proposed method is also able to locate the PD source using only one OP. In this case, the recording time must be defined to measure at the OP the direct PD signal and some PD signal reflections from the other end of the cable. A value $T = 2 \cdot l/u = 1.2$ μs has been used. Fig. 9 shows the voltage at different GPDLs when the PD source is 20m from the left end of the cable (where there is the OP) and the normalized energy in several GPDLs. Fig. 10 shows the method results when the PD source is, respectively, 40 m and 60 m away from the left end of the cable. As the figures show, the method can locate the PD also using only one observation point.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The letter proposes a new method for the on-line location of PD sources on power networks based on EMTR theory using a 1D TLM model of the PD signal propagation. An illustration of the method is provided and the ability of the method to locate PD events using only one observation point is demonstrated. The design of the method has been developed considering a simple system formed by a homogeneous Medium Voltage cable and using a lossless model of the transmission line. Future work includes the validation
of the method in complex network topologies (inhomogeneous cables and branched networks) to introduce losses and analyze the effect of this on the accuracy of the method to locate PDs. Moreover, an analysis of the effect of the parameter $K(a/b)$, that defines the value of $C_c$ in the GPDL, will be carried out in order to verify if its value affects the behavior/profile of the energy bar chart. This will potentially allow the method to give information about the type, geometry and dimensions of the defect where PD occurred in addition to only locating its source.
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