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Abstract

A new set of carbon tiles, neutral beam heating optics and gas baffles were installed on TCV during the baffled divertor upgrade in early 2019. The installation of the baffles allows a deconvolution of the roles of main chamber and divertor neutral pressure on the H-mode pedestal structure. This physical barrier allows relatively high neutral pressures to be constrained to the divertor, thus preventing neutrals from entering the main chamber and potentially degrading core confinement. This study presents the experimentally measured and modelled pedestal heights and structure for a series of H-mode discharges prior to and after this upgrade.

Increased pedestal performance at high divertor neutral pressure was observed after the baffled divertor upgrade. This was consistent across all triangularities and outer target locations investigated and is attributed to higher pedestal top temperatures being maintained at high gas injection rates. ASTRA simulations indicated beam heating power coupled to the plasma did not significantly vary after the baffled divertor upgrade or as a function of divertor neutral gas pressure. Analysis of the pedestal structure exposed a strong correlation between pedestal performance and the density pedestal position prior to and after the baffled divertor upgrade. The baffled divertor upgrade limited the outward shift of the density pedestal, thus maintaining higher pedestal performance at high divertor neutral pressures. Stability analysis indicated the majority of discharges studied were within 25% of the stability boundary. No correlation was found between the distance from the stability boundary and pedestal performance or structure. Comparison with the EPED1 model indicated that TCV discharges do not have a fixed dependence between pedestal $\beta$ and pedestal width. A large variation in the EPED1 relating parameter was observed and found to vary with the density pedestal position.
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1. Introduction

The high confinement plasma mode (H-mode) is defined by an edge transport barrier that produces strong temperature and density gradients termed the pedestal\textsuperscript{[11]}. This operational mode produces the highest performance discharges and it is currently foreseen that next step fusion devices will operate in H-mode with a detached divertor. Access to detachment is primarily achieved through additional fuelling and puffing of impurities and this can have a significant influence on the pedestal, which has been shown to be strongly linked to fusion yield\textsuperscript{[2]}. Experiments on ASDEX Upgrade (AUG), JET and unbaffled TCV have shown that increased fuelling can reduce pedestal performance. Analysis of AUG discharges showed a change in the high field side high density (HFSHD) region, which led to an outward shift in pedestal position\textsuperscript{[1]}.
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This operational mode produces the highest performance discharges and it is currently foreseen that next step fusion devices will operate in H-mode with a detached divertor. Access to detachment is primarily achieved through additional fuelling and puffing of impurities and this can have a significant influence on the pedestal, which has been shown to be strongly linked to fusion yield\textsuperscript{[2]}. Experiments on ASDEX Upgrade (AUG), JET and unbaffled TCV have shown that increased fuelling can reduce pedestal performance. Analysis of AUG discharges showed a change in the high field side high density (HFSHD) region, which led to an outward shift in pedestal position\textsuperscript{[1]}.

An understanding of the structure of the pedestal is given in terms of the EPED framework\textsuperscript{[10]}: the pedestal gradient is set by a transport limit (often taken to be a kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) or similar proxy) while the combination of the gradient and pedestal width (ultimately, the pedestal height) is determined by the onset of a global peeling-ballooning mode (triggering an ELM crash). A characteristic pedestal cycle begins with a steepening pressure gradient until a maximum limit is reached. This limit is dictated by transport and modelled using KBM stability as a proxy. The pedestal width then increases at the maximum gradient until the PB stability boundary. The gradient limit can be inverted to a relation between the pedestal height and width (w) to give the well known dependence with pedestal poloidal $\beta$ ($\beta_{\text{Ped.}}$):

$$w = D \sqrt{\beta_{\text{Ped.}}}.$$ 

The parameter D relates to the pedestal...
In early 2019, TCV was fitted with new graphite tiles, improved neutral beam heating (NBH) optics and a baffled divertor. The NBH optics were designed increase NBH power into the plasma chamber by 10% through a reduction in beam duct losses. To simplify the comparison between the discharges prior to and after the upgrade, the presented NBH power is the total beam power entering the main plasma chamber (total NBH power minus beam duct losses). The introduction of baffles is expected to significantly reduce the neutral population at the edge of the plasma \[11, 12\]. This in turn is expected to influence the turbulence dominated transport at the plasma edge, which can significantly alter pedestal performance \[8, 10\].

Investigation of transport through the D parameter from the EPED1 model allows for comparisons with unbaffled discharges and a decoupling of the roles of main chamber and divertor neutral pressure on the H-mode pedestal structure.

2. Experiment Description

TCV is a carbon walled machine with strong shaping capabilities and a recently installed divertor baffle \[11, 12\]. Stationary ELM-y H-mode discharges have been achieved in Ohmic only scenarios and with auxiliary heating through NBH and/or electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH). Standard gas injection is from the divertor floor and this was maintained in all discharges presented in this study. A high resolution Thomson scattering system is used to measure \(T_e\) and \(n_e\) at the locations indicated by red squares in Figure 1. A high spatial resolution region near the separatrix is equipped with filters optimised for lower \(T_e\) measurements (down to 10 eV), providing enhanced measurements of the pedestal \[15\].

The Thomson scattering system uses three lasers with a pulse rate of 50 ms providing profiles every \(\sim 17\) ms \[16, 17\], standard operation. The lasers can be operated in burst mode, allowing for three profiles in 3 ms with a 50 ms delay between bursts and this mode of operation is preferred during ELM-y H-mode plasmas. All measurements obtained within the last 30% of the ELM cycle are combined and fitted with an mtanh function as described in \[16, 17\]. The profiles are radially shifted such that the separatrix temperature is set to 50 eV, a value obtained from previous TCV database scaling \[18\].

3. Results

A total of 364 H-mode discharges carried out in the MST-1 campaign since the installation of the NBH system on TCV were investigated in this study \[19\]. They were analyses for stable ELM-y H-mode operation with constant plasma parameters and sufficient pre-ELM measurements to produce pedestal profiles. A database of 261 pedestal profiles were produced over a range of auxiliary heating powers, plasma currents, top triangularities (\(\delta_T\), nitrogen seeding and deuterium fuelling rates as shown in Figure 2. The NBH heating powers presented are defined as the power entering the main plasma chamber.

Figure 2 was used to determine regions of high data density and comparable discharges prior to and after the upgrades. Scenarios with a plasma current of 170 kA and NBH of 800-900 kW entering the main plasma chamber were identified as the most routinely operated and thus the analysis will be primarily restricted to these. This scenario has previously been shown to produce type-I ELMs through a reduction in ELM frequency with decreasing auxiliary heating power \[3\]. There are a limited number of discharges with ECRH and this is due to the poor coupling in beam heated H-mode plasmas, resulting in large uncertainties in absorbed power. Therefore, the relatively few discharges with ECRH are omitted from this analysis.

Fuelling rates of up to \(10^{21}\) e/s and nitrogen seeding rates up to \(10^{20}\) e/s were applied during the discharges. For simplicity, fuelling and seeding rates will be categorised as outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Ranges of no/low, medium or high fuelling and seeding rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuelling</th>
<th>Seeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No/low (e/s)</td>
<td>(&lt;10^{19})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (e/s)</td>
<td>(10^{19} - 10^{20})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (e/s)</td>
<td>(&gt;10^{20})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. Core, Pedestal and Divertor Performance

Core performance was evaluated using the ITERH-98(y,2) scaling criteria and is shown as a function of divertor pressure and ELM averaged total radiated energy in Figure

![Figure 1: Left - Unbaffled TCV configuration with representative \(R_t\) locations. Thomson scattering measurement locations shown in red squares. Right - Baffled TCV configuration with two representative triangularities. Additional divertor Thomson scattering locations were installed with the baffles.](image-url)
A decrease was measured after the baffled divertor upgrade. Measured for both divertor configurations but a weaker increase in ITERH-98(y,2) with increasing divertor pressure was observed. A representative set of six discharges with approximately 500 kW of coupled NBH power at varying $P_{\text{ped}}$ power. A decrease in pedestal top $T_e$ was found with increasing divertor pressure (C) and line average density (D). Green arrows in (C) indicate discharges with the same NBH power coupled to the plasma.

The pedestal top $T_e$ and $n_e$ for a range of divertor pressures are presented in Figure 3. Prior to the divertor upgrade, a decrease in pedestal top $T_e$ was observed with increasing divertor pressure. Discharges after the divertor upgrade show pedestal top $T_e$ being maintained across a range of divertor pressures. The pedestal top $n_e$ is shown to vary by ±25% with no clear trend across the range of divertor pressures, indicating pedestal fuelling is likely saturated at low divertor pressures. The degradation of energy confinement and $T_e$ with increasing divertor neutral pressures indicates that core energy transport is stiff but particle transport is not.

The influence of $\delta_T$ and $R_q$ on stored energy and $P_{\text{ped}}$ is presented in Figure 5. Discharges after the divertor upgrade produced generally higher ITERH-98(y,2) and $P_{\text{ped}}$ across the range of $\delta_T$. No trends between ITERH-98(y,2) and $\delta_T$, $P_{\text{ped}}$ or $R_q$ were observed. A weak declining trend in maximum achievable $P_{\text{ped}}$ was found with increasing $\delta_T$. Figure 5 (middle) and its cause has not yet been identified. It can be concluded from the large variation in $P_{\text{ped}}$ for a given $\delta_T$ or $R_q$ that the influence of shaping is significantly lower than that of the divertor pressure or baffled divertor upgrade.

Figure 2: Overview of discharges analysed. Top - Injected auxiliary heating power, middle - upper triangularity and plasma current, bottom - nitrogen seeding and fuelling rates.

Figure 3: ITERH-98(y,2) as function of divertor pressure (A) and ELM averaged total radiated energy (B). $P_{\text{ped}}$ as a function of divertor pressure (C) and line average density (D). Green arrows in (C) indicate discharges with the same NBH power coupled to the plasma.
3.2. Influence of Fuelling and Seeding Rates

Degradation of the H-mode pedestal with high fuelling and nitrogen seeding rates has previously been reported on TCV with an open divertor and the extended results of this work, shown in Figure 4, support that finding [8]. The discharges after the baffled divertor upgrade showed lower $P_{\text{ped}}$ degradation at fuelling and seeding up to $10^{20}\text{ e}/\text{s}$, after which a sharp decrease in $P_{\text{ped}}$ was observed. The Zeff was inferred by a fitting formula based on numerical simulations using nonequilibrium codes, as prescribed by the Sauter bootstrap current model [21, 22]. This approach uses the experimentally measured Thomson Scattering profiles and loop voltage. It was observed to generally decrease at medium and high fuelling rates (∆ and + symbols). Post upgrade discharges produced an almost constant Zeff after which a sharp decrease in Ped was observed. The pedestal density was fixed while the T$_e$ and T$_i$ remained constant. The Sauter model [21, 22] was used to determine if the pedestal was on the critical boundary. The input parameters were the experimental equilibrium, stored energies and measured T$_e$ profiles. Ion temperatures (T$_i$) were assumed to be equal to T$_e$ in the pedestal region and varied in the core to match the total stored energy. This assumption was validated as the electron stored energy was approximately half of the total stored energy for these discharges. Previous Zeff scans between 1 and 3 resulted in a variation of $P_{\text{ped}}$ of 15% and thus this analysis used a constant value of 2 for simplicity [8]. The pedestal density was fixed while the T$_e$ and T$_i$ gradients were scaled at constant width to produce a line of pedestal heights. The current density profiles were constrained with bootstrap current inferred from the Sauter model [21, 22]. High resolution equilibria were then calculated using the HELENA code and the stability boundary was calculated using MISHKA for toroidal mode numbers between 1 and 40 [23, 24]. The critical $P_{\text{ped}}$ could then be calculated using the experimental pedestal width.

Figure 5 presents the ratio of the experimental $P_{\text{ped}}$ to the critical $P_{\text{ped}}$ as a function of $\beta_g^{\text{ped}}$ (top), $\delta_T$ (middle) and $\text{Zeфф}$ (bottom). The discharges at 170 kA with 800-900 kW of NBH are shown as bright symbols with the remaining dataset shown in faded symbols. The dashed horizontal lines indicate a ±25% variation between the critical $P_{\text{ped}}$ and the measured $P_{\text{ped}}$. The bulk of the dataset is found to lie within these values and suggests the pedestals analysed in this study are close to the stability boundary.
No systematic difference was found after the baffled diverter upgrade and no correlation between distance from the stability boundary and the $P_{\text{ped}}$, $\beta_{\text{ped}}^2$, $\delta_T$, $n_{e}\cdot\delta_{\text{sep}}$, $Z_{\text{eff}}$, pedestal position or divertor pressure was observed.

5. Comparisons with Modelling

The correlation between pedestal width and $\beta_{\text{ped}}^2$ is presented in Figure 8 (top). The fits from DIII-D, AUG, and CMOD are overlaid for comparison. Previously reported TCV data was shown to not lie on any single fit and the D variable was found to vary from 0.05 to 0.13. The baffled divertor upgrade and inclusion of additional discharges present a similar result: TCVD discharge data does not follow the EPED1 approximation and the value of D varies between 0.02 and 0.3. This in-line with results reported on JET where D was found to vary between 0.05 and 0.2. The bright baffled dataset (170 kA plasma current and 800-900 kW of NBH power) has an almost constant $\beta_{\text{ped}}^2$ with a factor of two variation in pedestal width. This strongly indicates that transport increases, the gradient flattens and the width increases to maintain the same $\beta_{\text{ped}}^2$ at a different value of D.

The difference between TCV measurements and the EPED1 model predictions were previously partly attributed to changes in the $T_e$ and $n_e$ pedestal positions which are not accounted for by the model. Figure 8 (middle) indicates that the inferred value of D does not correlate with the relative positions of $T_e$ and $n_e$ pedestals. Figure 8 (bottom) suggests a weak decrease in D with an outward shift in the density pedestal position, indicating a reduction in cross-field transport as the density pedestal moves outward. No clear difference was observed between the baffled and unbaffled cases and transport simulations investigating the effect of neutrals on the plasma edge and the pedestal using the SolEdge2D-EIRENE code are still on-going.

6. Conclusion

A database of ELM-y H-mode discharges prior to and after the baffled divertor upgrade has been examined. Discharges with 170 kA plasma current and 800-900 kW of NBH at varying fuelling and nitrogen seeding rates were the primary focus. It was found that $P_{\text{ped}}$ was maintained after the baffled divertor upgrade at higher divertor pressures; a $P_{\text{ped}}$ of 1.5 kPa was maintained at up to 4× higher divertor neutral pressures, resulting in up to 3× higher total radiated energy without ITER H-98(y,2) degradation. This result was shown to be consistent with changing $\delta_T$ and $R_T$. ASTRAA modelling indicated the upgraded NBH optics did not systematically increase the coupled NBH power. These results indicate the baffled divertor upgrade has improved divertor performance whilst maintaining core performance.

Analysis of the pedestal structure showed a clear reduction in $P_{\text{ped}}$ with an outward shift in $n_e$ pedestal position.
Figure 8: Ratio of experimental pedestal pressure and critical pedestal pressure with pedestal $\beta_\text{p}$ (top), $\delta_T$ (middle) and $Z_{\text{tef}}$ (bottom). Faded symbols indicate the database not selected in experimental analysis. The dashed lines indicate a ratio of $\pm 0.25$.

Figure 9: Pedestal width as function of $\beta^\text{Ped.}_{\text{p}}$ (top). Relations observed on DIII-D, AUG and CMOD indicated with dashed lines. $D$ with relative pedestal position (middle) and $n_e$ pedestal position (bottom). Faded symbols indicate the remaining database not selected in the detailed analysis.
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