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Abstract—This paper addresses the design and manufacturing of a crab-leg based MEMS relay for a high power application. Thanks to a contact resistance lower than 100 mΩ, the relay can hold a current in the order of magnitude of an Ampere without further thermal limitation for the final application. First, an analytical model of the relay, considering its geometry and the contact resistance, is presented. The model is developed in order to focus on the contact deformation. It ensures a low bending moment due to the target contact deformation below 1 nm reducing thus the contact resistance. The design has also been done to overcome the internal resonance frequencies of the future application, i.e. electrical motor. Then, a novel manufacturing process for thicker MEMS is proposed based on micro-fabrication techniques. Finally, the performances of the relay are evaluated and a contact resistance as low as 65 mΩ (comparable to the state-of-the-art) for an applied force of 5 mN, much lower than values reported in the literature is presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The performance of permanent magnet synchronous motors is defined by their operating range, which is represented by a torque-speed curve. This intrinsic characteristic is defined and fixed by the motors internal and geometrical characteristics and by the power supply. However, motor manufacturers are challenged by customers who require motors with extensive operating ranges. When the electronics is limited, one of the most suitable solutions to increase the operating range is by reconfiguring the winding [1]–[3]. It resides in a change of the electric connection between the coils. Therefore, commutators are needed.

In the context of switching small power circuits, different components exist such as solid-state and electro-mechanic switches. Solid-state switches can be used but when floating voltages are present, components have to be added in order to guarantee a different voltage between the gate and the source in order to switch it on. Furthermore, since most of these components are not four quadrants, they need to be doubled in order to ensure that the current flows in both directions [4]. This implies that the overall system can not be easily integrated.

Due to the commercially available relays being too large, the MEMS relays are particularly investigated. Within the MEMS relays, it is possible to differentiate two types: ohmic and capacitive ones. Capacitive relays are frequency switches that work through a variation of their capacitance whereas ohmic ones consist in mechanical on/off switches that drive or stop the connection between two tracks. The latter is thus well suited to our application.

Several MEMS actuation principles exist such as electrostatic, magneto-static, thermal or piezoelectric ones [5]. In our case, electrostatic is chosen because of its low power consumption and fast time response, ease of integration and also considering the magnetic and thermal environment [6] in which the relay will be placed. In terms of energy density the electrostatic relays overcome the magnetic ones when the air gap is smaller than 2 µm [6]. The interest in electrostatically actuated MEMS relay for high power applications have increased these last years [7]–[13].

The main problem while conceiving an ohmic relay is that its intrinsic resistance is going to produce Joule losses when currents flows through it. Usually, it is more simple to place relays in parallel in order to split the current so that lower currents flows through it. Usually, it is more simple to place relays in parallel in order to split the current so that lower currents flows through each relay [9]. Indeed, Patel and Rebeiz [7] have shown that it is possible to handle a power higher than 10 W with a current bigger than 1 A despite a contact resistance of 1 – 2 Ω. Finally, heat is a major factor when it comes to talk about power application [8], [10]. It is possible to add a sink insulator [8] or to play with the geometry of the structure in order to have a heat sink [10] integrated into the relay.

The proposed relay is based on a two-step spring system or crab-leg structure [8] that allows the relay to increase the contact force, while remaining in a 2.5 mm³ volume constraint for integration purposes in very small motors. Nevertheless, increasing the contact force can add drawbacks. Indeed, the contact can bend due to the applied force around the contact and this will imply a reduction of the quality of the contact. For this reason, the proposed structure integrated a compensation of the contact deformation.

In the paper, an analytical modelling of the relay is undertaken in order to reduce the contact resistance and minimize the bending of the contact. Then, we discuss the manufacturing of such relay. Finally, electromechanical tests are performed to validate the approach.

II. DESIGN AND MODELLING

The relay is presented in Figure 1 and is composed of four main parts: i) the spring system 1 which links the anchor and the electrode, ii) the electrodes for actuation, iii) the spring
system 2, which links the electrode and the contact part, iv) the contact part. The design is done in four main steps. First of all, the contact resistance for this relay is determined. A particular attention has to be taken for the contact resistance because it is the main resistance of the relay and is responsible of the Joule losses, which we want to avoid or at least reduce.

Then, the geometry of the contact part including the spring system 2 has to be designed followed by the design of the anchor. These two developments correspond to the two-step spring system. Finally, electrodes can be designed. Note that the electrodes and the anchors are considered rigid and resistant to deformation for the analytical model. The fabrication limits are essential and have to be taken into account during the design.

### A. Contact resistance

The contact resistance depends on three major parameters, i.e., the contact force, the contact area and the harness of the used materials. In a thin gold layer, since the contact radius is bigger than the electron mean free path [14], Maxwells formula can be used to compute the contact resistance:

\[
R_c = \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{4 \cdot a}
\]

where \(\rho_1\) and \(\rho_2\) are the resistivity of the respective contact materials and \(a\) the effective contact radius expressed as following [15]:

\[
a = \sqrt{\frac{F_c}{H \cdot \pi}}
\]

with \(F_c\) the contact force and \(H\) the hardness of the softness of both materials. The contact resistance for different materials is reported in Figure 2, which shows that the contact force should be at least higher than 2 mN in order to get a low and stable contact resistance.

![Proposed relay](image)

**Fig. 1: Proposed relay**

![Contact resistance according to (1) for different materials](image)

**Fig. 2: Contact resistance according to (1) for different materials**

### B. Contact part and spring system

When the gap is closing due to the electrostatic force, the electrical contact occurs between the power IN and OUT tracks thanks to the contact structure at first. Then, as the electrostatic force keeps pulling the contact and increases the contact force, the contact may start bending. The contact surface could be drastically reduced. However, it is possible to get rid of this bending moment and improve the contact by modifying the stiffness of the contact. The center part of the system, including the contact structure and the spring system 2, can be modelled as shown in Figure 3. The electrodes are considered as rigid bodies. \(F_a\) and \(F_b\) are the reacting forces of the contact, \(F\) is the force generated by the electrodes, \(F_m\) is the gravity force applied on the contact due to the volume added above it in order to stiffen the structure. By solving the presented system in Figure 3, using the following boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1(0) &= y_d \\
y_2(\alpha \cdot l + \frac{l_c}{2}) &= y_c
\end{align*}
\]

where \(y_c\) is the maximum curvature allowed at the center of the contact and \(y_d\) the final deflection of the electrode when the power pads are in contact, the deflection at each point of the center part of the relay can be written as follow:

\[
y_1(x) = \frac{(n-1)\left(16 \cdot n^2 \cdot E_1 \cdot F \cdot l_b^2 + 8 \cdot n \cdot F \cdot (3 \cdot E_1 \cdot l_1 - E_1 \cdot x) - 3 \cdot E_1 \cdot F \cdot l_2 \cdot l_b^2 - 8 \cdot E_1 \cdot F \cdot x^2\right)}{48 \cdot E_1 \cdot l_1}
\]

**Fig. 3: Schematic of the contact part**
$$l < x < l + \frac{L_2}{2}:$$

$$y_d(x) = \frac{(a - x)(4 - x^2 + 6F + F_n) + 8a \cdot (3F (a - x) - F_n x) + F_n (4 - x^2 - 3x^2))}{38EI_i}$$

(5)

with

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{l_c}$$

(6)

and

$$\beta = \frac{EI_i}{EI_i}$$

(7)

where $EI_i$ represents the equivalent flexural rigidity of the part $i$. The choice of $y_d$ depends on the roughness of the material. For example, gold sputtering gives a peak to peak height of 5 nm [16]. We finally targeted a contact deformation lower than 1nm in the design to keep a safety margin in regard to the manufacturing process. The parameter $y_d$ has to be chosen considering the geometric limitation and the yield strength of the material used for the arms of the spring system 2.

C. Anchor

Here, we focus on the design of the first spring. In order to avoid stiction due to Van der Walls and Casimir forces [17], [18], a restoring force $F_r$ of 1 mN might be sufficient. The needed stiffness can be found with (8):

$$k_a = \frac{F_r}{z_1}$$

(8)

with $k_a$ the stiffness of the first spring and $z_1$ the airgap. Considering body diagram of a crab-leg structure (Figure 4), the stiffness $k_a$ is:

$$k_a = \frac{A}{B + C + D}$$

(9)

$$A = 48EGI_1I_2I_3(6I_1L_a + GJ_2(I_1I_a + I_1L_c)) \quad (GI_1L_a + EJ_2(I_3L_a + J_1L_c))$$

(10)

$$B = 12E^2I_1^2I_2^2I_3^2L_aL_1^2L_c$$

$$\quad + G^2I_3J_1J_2J_3L_b(I_3I_1L_a + I_1L_c)$$

$$\quad + I_2(I_3^2L_4^2 + I_1^2L_c^2)$$

$$\quad + 2I_1I_3L_aL_c(2L_a^2 + 3L_aL_c + 2L_c^2))$$

(11)

$$C = 4E^2GI_1I_2I_3L_b(I_1L_3L_a + I_1L_c)$$

$$\quad + I_2(I_1^2L_4^2 + I_1^2L_c^2 + 2I_1I_3L_aL_c(2L_a^2 + 3L_aL_c + 2L_c^2))$$

$$\quad + I_1L_c(3J_2L_aL_b + J_1L_c^2))$$

(12)

$$D = EG^2(I_1^2I_2^2J_1L_b + I_2^2J_2(I_1L_a + J_1L_c)$$

$$\quad + I_1^2L_a^2 + I_1^2L_b^2 + 2I_1I_2I_3L_aL_1(2L_a^2 + 3L_aL_c + 2L_c^2))$$

$$\quad + 4I_1I_2I_3L_b^2(I_1^2L_a^2 + J_1L_a + J_1L_b) + I_1J_2L_a^2L_b^2$$

$$\quad + I_3L_c(I_1J_2L_aL_b + J_1J_2L_aL_b + I_1J_1L_c^2))$$

(13)

D. Electrodes

The electro-statically actuated relay can be synthesized into a spring force due to the stiffness of the beam and the electrodes. Figure 5 resumes the aforementioned system.

Fig. 5: Simplified electromechanical model of the relay

1) Electrostatic force:

The energy of each capacitance $C_1$ and $C_2$ is calculated as follows:

$$W_{c_1} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot C_1 \cdot U_1^2$$

$$W_{c_2} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot C_2 \cdot U_2^2$$

(15)

with

$$U_1 = U \cdot \frac{C_2}{c_1 + C_2}$$

$$U_2 = U \cdot \frac{C_1}{C_1 + C_2}$$

(16)

The force between the two surfaces can be calculated by the derivative of the energy in the direction of the motion [19]:

$$F_{elec} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial d_1} \cdot U_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial d_2} \cdot U_2^2$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_0 \cdot A \cdot U^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\epsilon_{r_1} \epsilon_{r_2} + (\epsilon_{r_1} + \epsilon_{r_2}))}$$

(14)

where $\epsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\epsilon_{r_i}$ is the relative permittivity of each domain $i$, $A$ is the surface of the electrode and $U$ is the applied voltage on the electrodes.
2) Pull-in voltage:

The point where the electrostatic force and the spring force are equal to each other is called pull-in and occurs in $z_{\text{pull-in}}$:

$$z_{\text{pull-in}} = \frac{\epsilon_2 \cdot z_1 + \epsilon_1 \cdot z_2}{3 \cdot \epsilon_2} \quad (17)$$

Consequently, the pull-in voltage is:

$$U_{\text{pull-in}} = \sqrt{\frac{8 \cdot k \cdot (\epsilon_1 \cdot d_2 + \epsilon_2 \cdot d_1)^3}{27 \cdot \epsilon_0 \cdot (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \cdot A}} \quad (18)$$

with $d_i$ the thicknesses of the airgap and the oxide respectively.

Using the aforementioned formulae, the final dimensions of the relay satisfying the initial specifications, are presented in Figure 6 and the associated performance is shown in Table I. The compensation of the contact deformation is obtained thanks to the crab-leg design but also thanks to the thicker cube ($500 \mu m \times 500 \mu m \times 277 \mu m$) right in the middle of the contact part.

![Fig. 6: Dimensions (µm) of the designed relay](image-url)

**TABLE I: Design relay properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact resistance</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness</td>
<td>5931</td>
<td>N.m$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pull-in voltage</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relay volume</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>mm$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**III. MANUFACTURING**

The literature shows two major tendencies in the manufacturing of MEMS relay: sacrificial layer [20], [21] or wafers bonding [22], [23]. The former method suffers from stiction and residual defects and often lead to an unsatisfactory process [24]. Among the numerous choices of bonding techniques (Direct silicon bonding, anodic bonding, eutectic bonding, glass frit bonding, adhesive bonding), thermocompression [25] combines the advantages of having both mechanical and electrical connections between the two wafers in a single structure and thus, step. The base substrate is a borofloat wafer on which the bottom electrodes, the electrical tracks and the bonding tracks are manufactured. The top wafer is a SOI wafer and is the movable part of the relay. After bonding the two wafers using thermocompression, the backside of the SOI is finally patterned and dry etched in order to release the structures.

**TABLE II: Process flow of the borofloat wafer**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Cross section view</th>
<th>Perspective view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Borofloat wafer process

The manufacturing of the first wafer starts (Table II) with a cleaning performed with a piranha solution which is a mixture of sulfuric acid and hydrogen peroxide ($H_2SO_4(96\%) + H_2O_2$). A thin layer composed of a $20 \text{ nm}$ adhesive layer of titanium ($Ti$), a $500 \text{ nm}$ seed layer of gold (Au) and a $20 \text{ nm}$ protecting layer of $Ti$ is then deposed (Step 1). This seed layer has three purposes: the creation of the lower electrodes as well as the power track. While the first $Ti$ layer enhances the adhesion to the substrate, the purpose of the $Ti$ layer above the Au is to ensure a good protection of the pads before the electrodeposition. Once the seed layer is sputtered, $8 \mu m$ of photore sist is deposited (AZ9221) and patterned. In order to grow $5 \mu m$ of gold inside the resist patterned cavities, a ring is
left open at the perimeter of the wafer. This is the surface where the current is imposed for the electro-deposition. The exposed titanium inside the patterns and the ring are removed using hydrofluoric acid (HF) in order to get rid of possible resist residues. Finally the electrodeposition is performed. The measured cavity before the electroplating is $8.19 \mu m$ (Step 2 and 3). After the electroplating comes the patterning of the conducting tracks. Firstly, a $8 \mu m$ thick photoresist (AZ9260) is deposited and patterned in order to structure the gold by ion beam etching. To prevent from fences, a $2 min$ reflow at $90^\circ C$ on a hot plate smoothes the resist edges profile. The resist is finally stripped (Step 4). Due to contamination restrictions during final backside etching of the SOI, a Ti-Pt lift-off is performed (Step 5). A silicon oxide ($SiO_2$) layer is sputtered on the wafer with a titanium adhesive layer in order to avoid direct contact between upper and lower electrodes. The thickness of these layers are $200 \text{ nm}$ for the $SiO_2$ and $20 \text{ nm}$ for the titanium. The latter is then patterned with a new photolithography step so that the oxide remains only on the electrodes (Step 6). At this point, the addition of the aforementioned oxide layer prevents the electrical signal from being transported between the two power tracks since the electrodes with the oxide layer are higher than the power tracks. In order to solve this problem, a gold lift-off of $500 \text{ nm}$ is performed at the contacting part on the power tracks (Step 7, Figure 7).

### Table III: Process flow of the SOI wafer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Cross section view</th>
<th>Perspective view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 A</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 A</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 A</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 A</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 A</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 A</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 A</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 A</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Cross section view" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Perspective view" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7:** SEM images at the end of the borofloat wafer process flow. The deformation at the extremities of the structure is due to the limit of the SEM optics.

### B. SOI Wafer

The proper operation of the relay depends on its stiffness. For this reason, the thickness of the movable part is crucial. A standard SOI wafer (Table III) is used and thinned thereafter. Firstly, a grinding is used to thoroughly reduce the wafer thickness by $8 \mu m$ on the front side and by $48 \mu m$ on the back side. To ensure that the roughness caused by the grinding does not affect the bonding [26], a polishing step performed by chemical-mechanical polishing (CMP) is necessary. The latter is $5 \mu m$ deep on both sides of the wafer. The surface roughness after the grinding is less than $100 \text{ nm}$ with a total thickness variation (TTV) of about $1 \mu m$ (Step 8). In order to have the anchor higher than the power track and the electrodes, the top surface of the wafer has to be etched. A wet etch is chosen to insure electrical connection between upper and lower plate. The wafer is immersed in a $40\% KOH$ bath at $60^\circ C$ (Step 9, 10). After removing the potassium residue and $SiO_2$ hard mask, a new thermal oxidation for electrical insulation is deposed followed by the $Ti-Au-Ti$ seed layer deposition (Step 11). As for the borofloat, $5 \mu m$ of gold is grown inside a $15 \mu m$ thick cavity of photoresist (Step 12, 13). The electric tracks are created by etching the exposed seed layer followed by $SiO_2$ etching (Step 14). In order to create the movable structure, the top silicon of the SOI is etched on $87 \mu m$ and the $2 \mu m$ thick buried oxide is removed as well (Step 15, Figure 8).

**Fig. 8:** SEM images at the end of the SOI wafer process flow.
C. Wafers bonding and release of the relays

TABLE IV: Process flow for the bonding step and the release of the structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Cut section view</th>
<th>Perspective view</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once the two wafers are ready for bonding, a piranha cleaning is performed in order to remove any organic residues and have a high quality bonding surface [26]. After optical alignment, the wafers are bonded at 300°C with an external applied pressure of 0.15 MPa (Step 16, Table IV). The liberation process of the structure can start by dry etching the SOI backside (Step 17). A silicon oxide dry etch (Step 18) is finally performed to remove any remaining oxide residues due to step 13 and obtain the released relay (Figure 9).

IV. ELECTROMECHANICAL CHARACTERIZATION

The functional tests of the relay have been done in four steps: i) air gap estimation, ii) stiffness of the structure, iii) switching measurements, iv) contact resistance.

A. Air gap estimation

Firstly, in order to verify that there is no stiction problem between the two electrodes and estimate the airgap thickness, the capacitance is measured (Figure 10) using an impedancemeter. Starting from Gauss’s law, the voltage between the two plates is given by integrating the electric field:

$$V = -\int E \, dl = -\frac{Q}{A \cdot \varepsilon_0} \left( z_1 - z_2 \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \right) \right)$$  (19)

The relationship between the capacitance and the airgap is thus deduced:

$$C = \frac{Q}{|V|} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \cdot A}{z_1 - z_2 \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \right)}$$  (20)

where $z_1$ is the distance between the two electrodes, $z_2$ is the thickness of the dielectric material, $\varepsilon_0$ is the vacuum permittivity, $A$ is the electrode surface and $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity of the dielectric. Knowing the thickness of the dielectric layer according to measurement during the manufacturing and considering a misalignment of 4%, the estimated airgap is 6.73 µm compared to a value of 7 µm given by the analytical model (Figure 10).

B. Stiffness of the structure

The measurements have been performed using a FemtoTools sensor, which measures forces up to 300 mN with a resolution of 5 µN. The sensor is fixed to a moving plate placed on a Schneeberger™ rail. The linear guidance is done by an endless screw with a pitch of 220 µm, which is fixed to a stepper motor. In order to measure the plate displacement, a laser is used, which reflects on a flat surface fixed on the...
movable plate. A representation of the system is shown in Figure 11.

![Figure 11: Schematic view of the custom force-displacement measuring set-up and closer view of the force sensor tip on top of the relay](image)

Different stiffness measurements have been performed on the functional prototypes and are shown in Figure 12. In this figure, as the plate is driven further from the laser, the displacement is negative. As the sensor is delicate, the measurements start when the sensor is 50 µm above the relay. If the sensor would press against a non-flexible silicon bloc, the infinite counter force would be critically damaging. When the sensor touches the relay, the force applied on the sensor increases with the displacement. The slope is the stiffness, which is calculated using a linear regression. Note that the measurements are performed only in the air gap because when the relay is close the stiffness becomes infinite and the force sensor may break.

As a result, the mean value of the measured stiffness is 8019 N.m\(^{-1}\). A 26 % error is found between the theoretical stiffness according to the initial design (5931 N.m\(^{-1}\)) compared to the previous measured value.

![Figure 12: Stiffness dispersion (Upper panel) and force-displacement characteristic of a single relay (Lower panel)](image)

C. Switching measurements

During the electrical tests of the MEMS relay, the air gap decreases due to the electrostatic force. This displacement is measured with a laser sensor, which has a resolution of 50 nm. This laser is vertically positioned on the relay and the voltage is applied with two probes placed on the electrode pads. In Figure 13, the measurements performed on 5 relays are reported and two of them confronted to theoretical pull-in voltage deduced from the stiffness measurement. The shown deflection of the measurements confirms the expected behavior between the electrostatic force and the spring force. However, a maximum error of 22 % is found between the theoretical curve deduced from the stiffness measurements and the measured deflection when a voltage is applied to the electrodes. This error can be justified by the approximation of the stiffness measured due to the noise (as shown in Figure 12) used to project the theoretical value of the deflection. Once the pull-in occurs, an electrical contact is performed and the relay is turned on.

D. Contact resistance

In order to characterize the contact resistance, the setup presented in Figure 11 is once again used. The contact resistance is measured through four-point measurements. The results are shown in Figure 14. The contact resistance is always below 65 mΩ with a contact force of 5 mN. The
resistance is thus twice lower than the expected value from the analytical model. The analytical model was chosen to be very conservative to make sure that the contact resistance was low enough for the application in the worst case. After deep analysis of the Au metal contact layer, we figured out that the quality of the Au-electroplating steps (2 and 13 in table II and III respectively) were quite poor. Comparing our results to published values in the literature, we have already obtained better performances compared to [12] with who has published 13 Ω and we could expect similar results to [8], [11] down to a few mΩ with better gold surface (lower roughness).

Fig. 14: Contact resistance measurement

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed the design of an electrostatic MEMS relay working in harsh environment and presenting a low contact resistance fulfilling the requirement of electromagnetic motor. Since the contact resistance depends on both the contact surface and force, a two-spring (or crab-leg) structure is used. The proposed design also ensures the flatness of the contact to overcome the main disadvantage of such a structure. This relay has been manufactured using standard cleanroom microfabrication techniques. The process flow is composed of 18 main steps combining two initial wafers. The electromechanical tests have validated the design and the new manufacturing procedure with a contact resistance down to 64 mΩ for a 5 mN applied force. It thus confirms the possibility to integrate such MEMS relay inside a permanent synchronous motor and enlarge the operating range of such device. Considering the work already done, several improvements can be suggested. The quite high voltage to trigger the relay can be easily reduced by dropping the top silicon layer thickness down to 72 µm instead of 93 µm, decreasing therefore the global rigidity of the relay, and potentially reaching an actuation voltage of 30 V; a common value for the power supply of an electromagnetic motor. The latter value would be the lowest actuation voltage ever published for such a low contact resistance. Due to the impact of the contact, the latter can degrade with time, finally leading to failure. Replacing gold by tungsten or ruthenium in one of the two power supply lines will give better stability over time and less stiction problems without increasing the contact resistance too much.
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